

Event horizons and apparent horizons in spherically symmetric geometries.

Edward Malec
Physics Department, UCC, Cork, Ireland

and

Institute of Physics, UJ
300-59 Cracow, Reymonta 4, Poland

PACS numbers

Abstract.

Spherical configurations that are very massive must be surrounded by apparent horizons. These in turn, when placed outside a collapsing body, must propagate outward with a velocity equal to the velocity of radially outgoing photons. That proves, within the framework of (1+3) formalism and without resorting to the Birkhoff theorem, that apparent horizons coincide with event horizons.

There exists a gap in our understanding of spherically symmetric geometries. From the Birkhoff theorem¹ we know that once the areal radius $\mathbf{R}$ of a charged collapsing body becomes equal to $m + \sqrt{m^2 - q^2}$, where m is the asymptotic (Einstein - Freund - Arnowitt - Deser - Misner) mass and q is the total charge, then the body hides within an event horizon that coincides with a sphere of the areal radius $\mathbf{R}$. At the same time there is no direct proof that in the alternative dynamical (1+3) description of a spherical collapse an event horizon develops.

The intention of this letter is to fill this gap. Let the spherically symmetric metric line element be

$$ds^2 = -\alpha^2(r, t)dt^2 + a(r, t)dr^2 + b(r, t)r^2d\Omega^2. \quad (1)$$

Let the boundary of a collapsing body be a sphere of a coordinate radius r_0 . Let us define $c = \frac{-q^2 + m^2}{4}$. First, let us notice that there exists a static solution outside a collapsing body²

$$a = b = \left(1 + \frac{m}{r} + \frac{c}{r^2}\right)^2,$$

$$|\alpha| = \left| \frac{r - \sqrt{c}}{r + \sqrt{c}} \right| \left| \frac{m}{2\sqrt{c}} \right| r^2 - c \left| \frac{q^2}{2(r^2 + mr + c)} \right| e^{\frac{q^2}{2} \int_r^\infty \frac{\ln(|s^2 - c^2|)(2s + m)}{(s^2 + ms + c)^2} ds}.$$

From this one readily infers that at a coordinate radius $r = \sqrt{c}$ (that is, at an areal radius $\mathbf{R} = m + \sqrt{m^2 - q^2}$) exists an event horizon. In the case of vanishing total charge q the above solution coincides with the Schwarzschild solution in isotropic coordinates¹. That is a trivial situation that I will not discuss in what follows.

Let us assume that a spherically symmetric spacetime generated by a collapsing body exists (i. e., the global Cauchy evolution exists) and that it can be foliated by maximal slices that are asymptotically flat. It was proven elsewhere³ that when the amount of matter minus a total radial momentum exceeds a multiple (1 or 7/6) of the proper radius, then apparent horizons must form.

Let us assume that there exists an apparent horizon outside a (neutral or charged) collapsing body of a compact support. (We do not exclude long-ranged potentials, with an energy density like the electrostatic Coulomb-like energy in vacuum, that might exist outside a body. That is, we allow for an electrovacuum, but we definitely exclude everything else.) t is now a parameter that labels maximal slices; it coincides with a proper time of an external observer that is localized very far from a collapsing body. r is a coordinate radius and $r^2d\Omega^2$ is a standard 2-sphere metric element. The maximality condition implies that components of the extrinsic curvature satisfy the following equations

$$K_r^r = \frac{\partial_t a}{2a |\alpha|} = -2K_\phi^\phi = -2K_\theta^\theta = -\frac{\partial_t b}{b |\alpha|}. \quad (2)$$

Under these conditions, one proves that the Penrose^{4,5} inequality (which actually becomes an equality) holds true, that at the surface of an apparent horizon

$$m = \sqrt{\frac{S}{16\pi}} + q^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{S}}. \quad (3)$$

It will be convenient to prove (3) in an isotropic system of coordinates in which $a = b = \phi^4$. It is easy to prove that this form of a metric can be achieved just by performing a suitable change of a radial coordinate on a fixed Cauchy slice. Moreover, the final result - equation (3) - is already expressed in a coordinate independent way. The proof goes as follows. In electro-vacuum the hamiltonian constraint reads³

$$\hat{\Delta}\phi = -\hat{E}_i \hat{E}^i \phi^{-3} - \frac{K_{ij} K^{ij} \phi^5}{8}. \quad (4)$$

Here the hatted quantities refer to the flat background metric and $\hat{E}^r = \frac{q}{r^2}$, $E^\theta = E^\phi = 0$. From the momentum constraints one gets³

$$K_{ij} = (n_i n_j - \frac{g_{ij}}{3}) \frac{C}{\phi^6 R^3}, \quad (5)$$

where n_j is a normal vector in the physical non-hatted metric. C is a constant, a multiple of the total radial momentum of the collapsing system.

Equation (4) has a conserved (r -independent) quantity

$$E = \frac{r}{8} (2r\partial_r \phi + \phi)^2 - \frac{r\phi^2}{8} - \frac{q^2}{8r^2\phi^2} - \frac{C^2}{72r^2\phi^6}. \quad (6)$$

Assuming asymptotic flatness one finds that $E = -\frac{m}{4}$, where m is the asymptotic mass. Notice also that $r\phi^2$ is equal to an areal radius, $r\phi^2 = \sqrt{\frac{S}{4\pi}}$. After some rearrangements one might write (6) as follows

$$m - [\sqrt{\frac{S}{16\pi}} + q^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{S}}] = -\frac{r}{2} [2r\partial_r \phi + \phi - \frac{C}{3r^2\phi^3}] [2r\partial_r \phi + \phi + \frac{C}{3r^2\phi^3}]. \quad (7)$$

If S is an apparent horizon, then the first bracket on the right hand side of (7) vanishes, which proves (3).

Equation (3) actually holds on all maximal slices as far as the apparent horizons remains outside the collapsing body. That means that the areal radius

$$\mathbf{R} = r\sqrt{b} \quad (8)$$

(we are coming back to the original metric notation (1)) of the apparent horizon must be conserved in time, since the mass m is conserved in time in asymptotically flat systems. That is, the full time derivative of $\mathbf{R}$ must vanish, which leads to the following equality

$$V\sqrt{b} \frac{2b + r\partial_r b}{2b} + r \frac{\partial_t b}{2\sqrt{b}} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Here $V = dr/dt$ is the coordinate velocity expansion of the apparent horizon. Now, the condition for the apparent horizon reads

$$2b + r\partial_r b = \frac{brK_{rr}}{\sqrt{a}}; \quad (10)$$

inserting this into (9) and using (2) we obtain

$$\frac{\sqrt{b}K_{rr}r}{1\sqrt{a}}[V - \frac{|\alpha|}{\sqrt{a}}] = 0. \quad (11)$$

We conclude that the apparent horizon expands with a radial velocity

$$V = \frac{|\alpha|}{\sqrt{a}}. \quad (12)$$

But, from equation (1) we know that $\frac{|\alpha|}{\sqrt{a}}$ is equal to the velocity of radially outgoing photons. Therefore, no material object can escape from within the apparent horizon; it just coincides with the event horizon. We can say more. Actually, the equation (7) might be interpreted in the following way: if an areal radius of a sphere S satisfies (7), then S is an apparent horizon. By continuity, all Cauchy slices must contain surfaces satisfying (7), and they must remain in vacuum, since they move with the velocity of light. That means that, as long as maximal slices exist and under conditions stated previously, the apparent horizon must exist forever and it coincides with an event horizon. In this way we have proven in the framework of (1+3) formalism, without resorting to the Birkhoff theorem, that there exists an event horizon that intersects each Cauchy slice along a sphere of the areal radius $\mathbf{R} = m + \sqrt{m^2 - q^2}$.

The above conclusion combined with one of theorems of ³, allows one to formulate the following statement:

Theorem. If on an initial Cauchy hypersurface with momentarily static initial data

$$M > L, \quad (13)$$

where M is a total mass¹ and L is a proper radius of a collapsing body, then the whole space-time history of the body must contain an event horizon that surrounds the body.

Thus, if the energy content inside a ball of a fixed radius becomes large, then it hides under an event horizon. That proves a version of the Cosmic Censorship Hypothesis⁶ (CCH) in which *singularities* are supplied with a qualifier *massive*; **massive singularities are hidden under an event horizon**- this is a version of CCH that looks plausible.

The above results are easily proved in Schwarzschild coordinates, thanks to the possibility of applying the Birkhoff theorem. But, the standard way of solving that particular problem in Schwarzschild coordinates does not suggest us any possible way of dealing with nonspherical geometries. (As a parenthetical remark, let us point that one needs also to prove that actually Schwarzschild coordinates are diffeomorphic with those used above, which of course cannot be done if apparent horizons are present.)

In contrast with that, the present investigation bases on the (1+3) splitting of space-time and its real value relies on the fact that it reveals a way to treat nonspherical geometries. In particular, it demonstrates that the concept of *trapped surfaces* introduced

by Penrose is valid; that the *Penrose inequality* might be useful; and that, finally, the existence of *asymptotically flat maximal slices* might be coherently related with CCH.

The application of the above ideas to more general classess of collapsing systems will be reported elsewhere⁷.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Niall O'Murchadha for many discussions and valuable remarks, that greatly contributed to my understanding of problems discussed here. This work was supported in part by the Polish Government Grant KBN 2526/92.

References.

- ¹ e. g., Misner, Wheeler, Thorne, *Gravitation* Freeman 1973;
- ² I am convinced that this form of the electrovacuum solution of Einstein -Maxwell equations has been obtained hitherto, but I was not able to find any reference;
- ³ P. Bizoń, E. Malec, N. O' Murchadha, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 1147(1988);
- ⁴ R. Penrose, p. 631 in *Seminar on Differential Geometry*, Princeton University Press 1982;
- ⁵ G. Gibbons, p. 194 in *Global Riemannian Geometry*, ed. N. J. Willmore and N. J. Hitchin, Elli Horwood, New York 1984;
- ⁶ R. Penrose, *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **1**, 252 (1969);
- ⁷ E. Malec, N. O' Murchadha, work in progress.